BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

In the Matter of

DANIEL J. MARTINIE, M.D.

Holder of License No. **29155**For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine
In the State of Arizona.

Board Case No. MD-05-0460A

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

(Letter of Reprimand)

The Arizona Medical Board ("Board") considered this matter at its public meeting on August 9, 2006. Daniel J. Martinie, M.D., ("Respondent") appeared before the Board for a formal interview without legal counsel pursuant to the authority vested in the Board by A.R.S. § 32-1451(H). At the conclusion of the interview the Board voted to issue Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Board Order"). The Board Order was approved by the Board and issued on October 12, 2006. On November 16, 2006 Respondent filed a Motion for Rehearing or Review. The Board considered Respondent's Motion at its December 7, 2006 meeting and granted the Motion for the limited purpose of amending the language of the order portion of the Board Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of License No. 29155 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board initiated case number MD-05-0460A after being notified by the United States Department of Defense United States Air Force ("DOD/USAF") it had taken an Article 15 action against Respondent for engaging in a sexual relationship with a female patient ("JA"). Respondent also reported this action on his 2005 license renewal. The DOD/USAF reported

Respondent's relationship with JA continued from February 1, 2003 through June 5, 2003 and Respondent had reported the doctor-patient relationship with JA was severed in October 2002, approximately four months before the relationship began. The DOD/USAF also reported Respondent filled a narcotics prescription for JA at the request of her husband in July 2003. Respondent does not dispute the Article 15 action was taken or that he had a sexual relationship with JA. The DOD/USAF suspended Respondent's privileges for three months and restricted him from seeing female patients for a period of time. Respondent's privileges were subsequently restored. Respondent appealed the DOD/USAF action, but his appeal was denied.

- 4. Respondent testified he was not on active duty and was currently in the Air National Guard. Respondent testified JA became his patient after she was admitted to the hospital in October 2002 following a motor vehicle accident. Respondent subsequently transferred her care to another physician and terminated the doctor-patient relationship. Respondent and JA then began a consensual sexual relationship in February 2003.
- 5. Respondent is currently completing a residency in emergency medicine. Respondent was very sorry for having caused the Board to have to review his conduct and this was a very difficult time for him and he thought he had done the right thing in openly discussing the situation with JA several times and he does not know if he had waited another month or gotten a letter from his Colonel whether the situation would be different. Respondent testified he now understands the rule that requires he wait six months before beginning a sexual relationship with a former patient.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Arizona Medical Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Respondent.

- 2. The Board has received substantial evidence supporting the Findings of Fact described above and said findings constitute unprofessional conduct or other grounds for the Board to take disciplinary action.
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described above constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(p) ("[s]anctions imposed by an agency of the federal government, including restricting, suspending, limiting or removing a person from the practice of medicine or restricting that person's ability to obtain financial renumeration") and A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(z) ("[e]ngaging in sexual conduct with a current patient or with a former patient within six months after the last medical consultation . . .").

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Respondent is issued a Letter of Reprimand for an action taken by the federal government restricting his practice to male patients only and for engaging in a sexual relationship with a former patient within six months after the last consultation.

RIGHT TO APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT

Respondent is hereby notified that this Order is the final administrative decision of the Board and that Respondent has exhausted his administrative remedies. Respondent is advised that an appeal to Superior Court in Maricopa County may be taken from this decision pursuant to Title 12, Chapter 7, Article 6.